

California Integrated Waste Management Board

Permitting and Enforcement Committee

July 16, 1998

AGENDA ITEM 7

ITEM:

CONSIDERATION OF ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 1998/99 FUNDS FOR THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM (AB 2136)

I. SUMMARY

Annually the legislature has appropriated \$5 million for the AB 2136 Cleanup Program. Since the start of the program staff have brought an allocation item to the Board for consideration at the beginning of each fiscal year. This item is for consideration of allocating fiscal year 1998/99 Solid Waste Disposal and Codisposal Site Cleanup Trust Fund money. Pursuant to the statute, normally \$300,000 has been allocated for administration of the program and an additional amount was allocated for pro rata. This year through a fund shift Budget Change Proposal the amount for administration and pro rata has been increased to \$410,137, increasing the total appropriation to \$5,110,137. The amount of remediation money for project allocation in this item is \$4,700,000, the same as prior years. Actual use of the funds is pending approval of the State budget.

Table 1 – Funding Allocation

<u>FUNDING MECHANISM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Loans, Matching Grants and LEA Grants	\$1,200,000
<u>Board Contracts</u>	<u>\$3,500,000</u>
Total	\$4,700,000

II. PREVIOUS BOARD ACTION

There has been no previous action regarding this current year's allocation.

III. OPTIONS FOR THE BOARD OR COMMITTEE

Committee members may decide to:

1. Concurr in staff's recommended allocation of the Trust Fund money for fiscal year 1998/99.

2. Change staff's recommended funding allocations, or
3. Not allocate the money at this time.

IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends allocating \$1,200,000 for matching grants, LEA grants and loans and \$3,500,000 for Board-managed contracts. The \$1,200,000 would be available throughout the year as grant and loan applications are received. The contract funds would be divided into four contracts, two existing and two proposed. Staff recommends allocating the \$3,500,000 set aside for contracts as follows:

Table 2 – Contract Allocation

Contract No.	Contractor	Current Contract	New Allocation	Total Contract
		Amount	(This item)	Amount
IWM-C6054	Guinn	\$1,800,000	\$ 540,000	\$2,340,000
IWM-C7054	Sukut	\$1,365,822	\$1,134,178	\$2,500,000
New Construction Contract			\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
New Consultant Contract			\$ 825,822	\$ 825,822
			\$3,500,000	

V. ANALYSIS

From the Program's inception in January 1994 through June 1998, the Board has approved 89 sites for funding under the Solid Waste Disposal and Codisposal Site Cleanup Program. Seventy-one sites have been completed, three sites were dropped from consideration (Whitefeather Farms, Humboldt Road Burn Dump and Foster Road), one site was cleaned up by the owner after Board approval but prior to the Board's contractor starting work (Harrington Flatt Illegal Disposal Site), and fourteen sites are being worked on.

Background:

Table 3, below, illustrates the historic use of the 2136 cleanup program funds.

Table 3 – Historic Use of Funds

	FY93/94	FY 94/95	FY 95/96	FY 96/97	FY 97/98
Matching Grants	1	5	1	0	0
LEA Grants	1	2	3	0	5*
Loans	0	3**	2	0	0
Board-managed	2	10	13	20	11

*5 LEA grants for a total of 15 sites

** 1 loan was rescinded

As Table 3 illustrates, the most used funding mechanism has been Board-managed projects, with LEA grants being second. As long as grants and Board-managed cleanups are available, it appears there will be limited interest in loans.

The most interest by local governments has been in Board-managed projects due to lack of manpower, resources, design and construction management expertise at the local level and to the long timeline required for contractor procurement. The cleanup program staff have become efficient and effective at remediating sites throughout the state. The number of sites remediated speaks to the success of that part of the program.

FY 1998/1999 Program Planning

Contracts: Staff proposes to go out to bid in the late fall, early winter of 1998 for a new construction cleanup contract and a new engineering services consultant to support the program. By the end of this summer's construction season, there will be very little money remaining in the Guinn Construction contract and only the newest Sukut Construction contract will have a large amount of money available for Board-managed cleanups. The older Sukut and Granite contracts will be depleted by the end of this construction season. Having at least two construction contracts available for the program has proven very beneficial. It means there is always a contractor with a crew and equipment available at any time they are needed. Also, by the end of this current construction season, the Bryan A. Stirrat, consulting contract will be nearly depleted and the program will need another consultant to provide engineering services.

NOFA: Staff proposes to send out a Notice of Funds Available as the Board approves the funding allocation for the new fiscal year.

Potential Sites

Staff are working with many counties investigating potential sites. The type of work involved with bringing sites to the Board for approval include, assessing the site for hazardous waste, title searches, aerial and ground surveys, performing due process, litigation by local agencies,

sampling and testing soil, water and waste, negotiation with owners, site access, and permit preparation for other regulatory agencies. The following sites are being investigated for Board approval:

Table 4 – Potential Sites

<u>Site</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>Problem</u>
Buckeye Landfill	Shasta	OldCap/erosion problems
Muliple Illegal Disposal Sites	Los Angeles	Illegal dumping in old Stream bed/quarry site
Grass Valley Burn Dump	Nevada	Exposed burn dump
Coyote St. Illegal disposal	Nevada	Illegal dumping along two miles of roadside
Davenport Burn Dump	Santa Cruz	Burn dump with erosion problems
Wilder Ranch Burn Dump	Santa Cruz	Burn ash washing into ocean
Multiple Illegal Disposal Sites	Merced	Rural illegal dumping
Newville Illegal Disposal Site	Glenn	Typical illegal disposal site
Jacumba Burn Dump	San Diego	Old burn dump along creek, near school
Warner Springs Burn Dump	San Diego	Burn dump and old landfill
Palomar Burn Dump	San Diego	Old burn dump with subsidence problems
Bill Lane Dump	San Joaquin	Active illegal landfill

Lynch Illegal Disposal Site	San Joaquin	Typical illegal site
Lewis Road Illegal Disposal Site	Solano	Typical illegal site
Meridian Road Illegal Disposal Site	Solano	Typical illegal site
El Centro Illegal Disposal Sites	Imperial	Typical illegal sites
Charter Ranch Illegal Disposal Site	Colusa	Active illegal disposal site
Lurline Burn Dump	Colusa	Burn dump with illegal dumping along major irrigation canal
Webster Illegal Disposal Site	Colusa	Active illegal disposal site
Multiple Burn Dumps	Kern	Typical old burn dumps

Board staff are making an earnest attempt to contact each LEA jurisdiction to discuss the sites on the 2136 site list and to visit any potential sites. This has a two-fold purpose, to investigate sites and to refine the site list to sites that really should be on the list.

VI. FUNDING INFORMATION

Amount Proposed to Fund Item: \$ 3,500,000 for contracts

Fund Source:

	Used Oil Recycling Fund
	Tire Recycling Management Fund
	Recycling Market Development Revolving Loan Account
	Integrated Waste Management Account
x	Other (Specify)Solid Waste Disposal and Codisposal Trust Fund

Proposed From Line Item:

	Consulting & Professional Services
	Training
	Data processing
	Other (Specify)

Redirection: N/A

If Redirection of Funds: \$

Fund Source:

Line Item:

VII. ATTACHMENTS

1. Resolution

VIII. APPROVALS

Prepared By: Marge Rouch *mr* Phone: 255-2347

Reviewed By: Scott Walker *SW* Phone: 255-1198

Reviewed By: Dorothy Rice *D. Rice* Phone: 255-2341

Reviewed By: ~~Susan Villa/Karen Fish~~ Phone: ~~255-2271~~

Legal Review: *Letty J. Johnson* Date/Time: 255-2188 7/6/98